

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GPNE CORP.,)	Case No.: 12-CV-02885-LHK
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DENYING VARIOUS
)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO
v.)	SEAL
)	
APPLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

The Court previously granted in part and denied in part various administrative motions to seal materials in connection with the parties' high priority objections for trial. ECF No. 478. The Court denied certain sealing requests without prejudice because no highlighted proposed redactions or supporting declarations were filed, and ordered the parties to file any renewed sealing requests no later than October 20, 2014. *Id.* No renewed sealing requests have been filed. Accordingly, the remaining sealing requests that were previously denied without prejudice are hereby DENIED with prejudice, as stated below:

<u>Motion to Seal</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed</u>	<u>Ruling</u>
401	Ex. D (ECF No. 404)	DENIED with prejudice.
401	Green Decl. Ex. 1 (ECF No. 401-4)	The Court previously denied this request with prejudice as to slides 14 and 31 because the redacted information was publicly disclosed at trial. Sealing of slide 32 was denied in ECF No. 500. Accordingly, this sealing request is DENIED with prejudice and the entire exhibit will be public.
401	Green Decl. Ex. 2 (ECF No. 401-8 to -11)	DENIED with prejudice.
415	Plaintiff's Objections and Responses to Objections Regarding Gabriel Wong, Edwin Wong and Harry Tan. (ECF No. 415-2)	DENIED with prejudice.
416	Green Decl. Ex. A (ECF No. 417)	DENIED with prejudice.
416	Green Decl. Ex. B (ECF No. 416-6)	DENIED with prejudice.
416	Apple's High Priority Objections (ECF No. 416-4)	DENIED with prejudice.
420	Plaintiff's Objections and Responses to Objections Regarding Drs. Esmael Dinan and Neil Birkett (ECF No. 420-4)	Previously denied with prejudice because the redacted information was publicly disclosed at trial. ECF No. 478.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 21, 2014



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge